

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,264	01/03/2002	Seungbae Park	EN999048D	8328
7	590 07/11/2003			
David L. Banner			EXAMINER	
Press Building 19 Chenango S	Street		GARCIA, ERNESTO	
Binghamton, NY 13901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summany	10/038,264	PARK ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ernesto Garcia	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h	<u>1ay 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 9-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

The request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) filed on 5/29/03 is acknowledged. 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1) was amended to provide that the prior application of a CPA must be: (1) a utility or plant application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before May 29, 2000, (2) a design application, or (3) the national stage of an international application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before May 29, 2000. See Changes to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice, interim rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865, 14872 (Mar. 20, 2000), 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47, 52 (Apr. 11, 2000). Since a CPA of this application is not permitted under 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1), the improper request for a CPA is being treated as a request for continued examination of this application under 37 CFR 1.114. See id. at 14866, 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 48.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/29/03 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 11-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6. Claim 11 is directed to Figure 3d, claim 12 is directed to Fig. 3e, claim 13 is directed to Fig. 3c, and claim 14 is directed to Figure 3f.

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

an application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Drawings

Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the intermetallic boundary interface defining a separation between the pad and the solder, and the separation must be shown in Fig. 4 or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

The drawings are objected to because the solder and the pad are not provided with a reference character in Figure 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

"an intermetallic boundary interface", "the intermetallic boundary interface disposed on the surface of the pad", "the intermetallic boundary interface defining a separation between the pad and solder" (claim 9), "the intermetallic boundary interface being characterized as serpentine" (claim 10)

Claim Objections

Claims 9 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claims 9 and 10, "its" in line 9 should be defined. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese patent, 62-15844 (see marked-up attachment).

Regarding claim 9, the Japanese patent discloses in Figure 2 a solder configuration comprising a pad 2 and solder 12. The pad 2 has a surface A2. An intermetallic boundary interface A3 is disposed on the surface A2. The boundary interface A3 defines a separation between the pad 2 and the solder 12 that forms part of a solder joint 1. The boundary interface A3 is non-planar and circuitous. Applicant is reminded that functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly cannot serve to distinguish. *In re Mason*, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Regarding claim 10, the Japanese patent discloses in Figure 2 a solder configuration comprising a pad 2 and solder 12. The pad 2 has a surface A2. An intermetallic boundary interface A3 is disposed on the surface A2. The boundary interface A3 defines a separation between the pad 2 and the solder 12 that forms part of a solder joint 1. The boundary interface A3 is non-planar and serpentine. Applicant is reminded that functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly cannot serve to distinguish. *In re Mason*, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Page 7

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent

to applicant's disclosure. The Japanese patent, 61-210812 shows a similar solder

configuration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 703-308-

8606. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final

communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is 703-308-2168.

Lynne H. Browne **Supervisory Patent Examiner**

MORK

Technology Center 3600

E.G.

July 7, 2003

Attachment: one marked-up copy of Japanese patent, 62-15844.